

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/692,588	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Bueker	1763	

All Participants:

(1) Richard Bueker.

(2) Mr. Jiawei Huang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejections stated in Final rejection

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Richard Bueker
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone conversation on May 4, 2006, the Examiner proposed that claims 1 and 7 be amended as shown in the supplemental amendment filed May 5, 2006. In another telephone conversation on May 11, 2006, the Examiner further proposed that claim 7 be amended as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment to further emphasize the structural nature of the claimed apparatus. Mr. Huang agreed to all proposed changes to the claims. .